Issue 15

June 2nd 2015

Vive Charlie!

Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to

Charlie Hebdo





REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Welcome to Vive Charlie the online satire magazine created in the wake of the horrific terrorist attack at the offices of Charlie Hebdo in Paris.

If you are reading this, then you are one of the many that has supported this project by making pledges through our Patreon page and for that we thank you. Without your support this project would not be possible; as the time, resources, commitment and costs required to deliver Vive Charlie would have been out of reach.

In a very short space of time we have assembled a professional, creative and dedicated team. We already have artists, satirists, administrators, columnists, comedians, authors, publishers, lawyers, translators and web development specialists that have agreed to give their time and expertise freely to get Vive Charlie up and running.

The money you have pledged will allow us to purchase a web domain, email servers, equipment, software and materials. Until such time that the monthly pledges via Patreon reach $4,000 we anticipate that, for the first few months, we will be running at a financial loss. We would therefore encourage you to share our work on social media, with friends, and family. Please be assured that Vive Charlie has not been set up as a profit making project. 20% of all profits will be donated each month to a charitable cause, selected by you, our Patrons. The remainder of the profits will be invested in Vive Charlie, to ensure it remains advertising free, to expand our reach by promoting it online and to increase the content.

If you have not already signed up as a Patron and would like to, click this link. You can pledge as little as $1 a month, that's just 25 cents an issue. Pledging up is easy and can be anonymous, you can pay by direct debit, Paypal or debit/credit card and can cancelled at anytime.

As already stated Vive Charlie will focus on promoting freedom of speech and freedom of expression through satire. Rest assured we are not left or right leaning, nor are we West or East leaning. Anyone and anything that is an affront to normal sensibilities is a target, whether it be overly politically correct media outlets, politicians, world leaders, religion, celebrities, sports stars or current events.

We would also like to extend on open invitation to you, our Patrons, to submit your ideas, focus areas, subjects and people that you feel deserve to be mocked, ridiculed, offended or shamed into action.

Once again, thank you for your generous support and enjoy issue 15 of Vive Charlie.

Old Holborn

There are 60million of us but only 646 of them

Britain's Vilest Troll - Daily Mail. Award winning Columnist, Satirist, Libertarian legend. Champion of Free Speech and a Free Society.

I genuinely can't fathom out how stupid people can be. It's been known that FIFA have been helping themselves to the contents of large brown envelopes for over a decade and yet suddenly the world of sport is in turmoil because the Godfather of the international sport of kickball has been re-elected by the same people who receive the envelopes.

Firstly, you'll need to know that I genuinely can't stand most sports. I pride myself in avoiding the petty rivalries of the idiots whose lives are so tragically empty that they feel the need to belong to some extended tribe of nylon shirt wearing fat bastards who happily empty their wallets into the pockets of multimillionaire footballers every week. I have never, and will never, watch Sky Sports or ESPN because I can't stand the thought of a mouth breathing oik with an IQ of 12 receiving a penny of my hard earned cash to run up and down a field all day grinning like the shaved baboon his genes decided he was going to become.

I'm not in the least surprised that FIFA has become almost a mini state complete with a totalitarian despot heading it up. When the first sniff of real money entered Motorsport, the then French boss of the FIA, Jean Marie Balestre, decided he was going to demand an open top limo complete with police outriders to parade up and down the final straight at Hockenheim Formula One waving to his beloved disciples in the grandstands like some demented South American dictator. Likewise, our good friend Sepp Blatter soon found himself in the company of world leaders and government movers and shakers because his tawdry little club of gangsters managed to bag the international rights to broadcast the World Cup.

Quite how the human race managed to reach a stage where billions of us are happy to pay billions of our hard earned to watch grown men kick a ball about is beyond me – but each to their own as they say, unless I'm paying for it, of course. And I'm smart enough not to, having flatly refused to pay to watch a television at all, but around the globe, people with barely enough money to feed their families are being fleeced by a collection of mobster dons masquerading under the guise of "football ambassadors" whilst shuffling millions of dollars in bribes between their various bank accounts. The only reason the FBI have decided to stretch their jurisdiction to "soccerball" or whatever they call it over there is that the crooks have being doing their deals in US dollars and that might reflect badly on Uncle Sam.

Let's be honest about this. Wherever you find the gormless public stumping up billions, you'll find a plethora of parasites desperate to grab a slice of a very lucrative pie – football is no different in that respect. What we should be angry about is that governments have colluded in this corruption and nations far, far poorer than our own are being turned over by a bunch of oily second hand car salesmen whilst they demand even more "development aid" from my taxes.

Sepp Blatter, like any other politician simply cannot understand that he is no longer worshipped and adored by his loyal public. Like the ageing dictator of a corrupt totalitarian regime, he's quite happy for his generals to plunder whatever resources they can find so long as his divine rule is maintained.

I'd implore you all not to watch the World Cup in 2018 or in 2022 but I know you will – we just can't help ourselves and much like Saddam held the West to ransom because he held the oil, Sepp Blatter and his loyal lieutenants at FIFA know that the average human is dumb enough to keep toiling in the fields every day in the hope of one day, owning a tawdry nylon football shirt with the name of some gormless multimillionaire "hero" on the back of it. Just as we kept Saddam in power, our leaders and governments will keep Blatter in power. Exactly where they want him – wasn't it George Orwell in his novel 1984 who said?:

"So long as they (the Proles) continued to work and breed, their other activities were without importance. Petty quarrels with neighbours, films, football, beer and above all, gambling filled up the horizon of their minds. To keep them in control was not difficult."

Vive Charlie TV

‘DIET COKE’ MUSLIM DISCRIMINATION PASSENGER HAS TIES TO RADICAL IMAMS	Norway Foils Islamic State Plans to Send Fighters in Guise of Refugees
Manspreading arrests: the long arm of the law just invaded our personal space	THE LABOUR PARTY’S BIGGEST PROBLEM IS ITS OWN VOTERS
Ron Paul: CIA is a Secret Government Way Out of Control	Ministers to examine if BBC should bid for World Cup games
Loud sex noises land woman in jail for two weeks	Record 5,500 migrants rescued on way to Europe
Islamic State militants 'filmed torturing Syrian boy'	Iraq: Islamic State bomb attack 'kills 45 police officers'

Noisykafir's BURKAPAPA #15

















Journalist, photographer and broadcaster. Tech writer @Forbes. Presenter of C4's Dispatches: *'Truth About Hospital Food'*. Author of *'Notes From A Hospital Bed'*. Mark uses Twitter to debate and discuss issues at @markgsparrow.

Comedy is no longer a laughing matter.

© Mark Sparrow 2015

Whatever happened to the British sense of humour? Is it possibly being educated out of us? Well, a funny thing happened last weekend...

There are many things that we've lost in this country in recent years: a sense of community, good manners, a common heritage, red telephone boxes and, perhaps most importantly, the British sense of humour.

A week or so ago I was watching the Eurovision Song Contest (go ahead and judge me... I don't mind) and I decided to tweet a few humorous observations. Now that Sir Terry Wogan is no longer supplying the commentary to this annual festival of kitsch, I felt it was my duty to gently rib the acts taking part and to prise a few laughs out of my Twitter followers with some well-aimed tweets designed to prick the pomposity of this jamboree of campness.

When it came to Romania's turn to perform a song, I simply tweeted: 'It's Romania on next... All the other contestants are busy checking their pockets.' It was a cheeky nod towards the fact that half of all London's street robbery (according to the Metropolitan Police) is committed by Romanian street gangs. Now I'm well aware that many of the pickpockets operating throughout Europe are in fact from the Roma community but they carry Romanian passports. My comment was made very much tongue-in-cheek and I'll readily admit to it being puerile and stereotypical. Basically it was lame comedy. You may not think it was funny but quite a few of my followers did.

Jokes are often based around the recognition of existing stereotypes and part of the reason we laugh is that, even though we know it doesn't apply to everyone in the group that we're joking about, there's enough of a grain of truth to make us smile in recognition. For thousands of years comedy has grown up around ribbing people living in the next in the next village, town or country. Hopefully it's done in a gentle way that isn't vicious and it should be delivered with a certain amount of affection.

As a young boy I grew up hearing jokes about the Irish. One of my best friends is German (no really) and he grew up hearing jokes about Ost Frieslanders, who take the place of the Irish in German comedy. I'm not sure who Irish people joke about, but for years in this country we've been telling jokes about Englishmen, Scotsmen, Welshmen and Irishmen. And despite growing up with these jokes I know that Irish people aren't all stupid, Scots people aren't all mean drunks, or that Welsh people aren't all short and having sex with sheep. I also know that Italians aren't all cowards or that most French people don't walk around smelling of garlic and wearing berets. I also happen to have dear friends from all these countries.

Is it so harmful to take the Mickey (can I still say Mickey?) out of each other? Are jokes about people from other countries not just an essential safety valve and a useful way of venting any pressure that might build up in an overcrowded country that is absorbing newcomers from other countries at a rate close to a city the size of Cardiff each year? Comedy exists in society for a reason and as long as it doesn't turn into racism or persecution, can it really be so harmful?

Anyway, back to Eurovision... I received a few tweets accusing me of racism, of being a shit and of insulting Romanians. These were mostly from younger people who seem to have been programmed to take offence by proxy on behalf of any minority they feel is in need of their superior moral protection. I'm not sure what it is that they are teaching young people in school these days but it sure as hell isn't a sense of humour. Never

have we raised such a politically correct generation of offence-taking puritans who sound as if they all want to buy the world a Coke and live in perfect harmony. Not only do many young people seem incapable of distinguishing comedy from real life, they seem to be obsessed with activism and will throw a wobbly or have a breakdown if life doesn't go their way. I often wonder whether it's the rapid expansion of higher education that's to blame for this situation. Whereas in the 1970s around 8% of all school leavers attended university, that figure is now close to 50%. And whereas we all once knew the odd academic who seemed to take life a bit too seriously and who was incapable of fitting in, we now seem to be nurturing an entire generation of ultra-serious offendotrons.

Now I'm not suggesting for one moment that all young people are like this. Far from it. However, I do worry that in some educational institutions we are seeing the creation of a whole cadre of students that display all the zeal and intolerance of the Hitler Youth. Or like Chairman Mao's Red Guard, which was drawn from the ranks of students, who enforced the Cultural Revolution with such vigour, cruelty and zeal, we may be in danger of raising a generation of serious-minded young activists who have no concept of fun and no idea of 'give and take'?

The recent furore over the tweets of Bahar Mustafa, a Student Union diversity officer at Goldsmiths College, London, who tweeted that all white men should be killed... and then tried to pass it off as a joke when it backfired, shows the extreme extent of where we are. Anyone with a well-adjusted sense of humour knows when something is a joke and that was a 'joke' that definitely crossed a line.

I'm not sure whether this sense of humour failure is the fault of too narrow an intake of teaching graduates, the encroaching influence of the UN's Agenda 21 or simply too many people entering higher education without sufficient intellect, but we are dangerously close to losing the sense of humour that's enabled us to welcome newcomers from all over the world and for them to integrate and join in with the community at large. We no longer watch the same tv programmes, enjoy the same comedy shows or share the same self-deprecating sense of humour. The days of Morecambe and Wise, Tommy Cooper and Norman Wisdom are long gone and yet laughter can do so much more than politics to lubricate society and enable everyone to rub along together. A shared sense of humour has always been a defining part of our national identity. We need to protect that and learn to laugh at ourselves and each other again.

Well, that's probably enough from me for now. I've got to go out and do some shopping. Wait! Where's my wallet?

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens

Hitch: This week's teams are One True God and ISIS, with team captains Medina Moe and Al Baghdadi. OK, question one. What support should be given to rape victims?

BUZZZ One True God, Aisha: Tied up in a bag, buried up to the waist and then stoned to death by a bunch of devout Muslims.



Hitch: OK, question two. What is the most effective way of securing world peace?

BUZZZ ISIS, Al-Baghdadi: Global subjugation and genocide until everyone left alive worships Allah .

Hitch: Question three. What is the fastest way to promote a religion of peace to an entire region?

BUZZZ ISIS, Al-Baghdadi: Genocide and rape?

Hitch: What is the most effective way of raising funds to support a political ideology?

BUZZZ ISIS, Jihadi John: Threatening to behead infidels to make their Governments pay a ransom.

Hitch: What is the difference between the Quran that moderate Muslims follow and the Quran that ISIS follow?

Come on, I'll have to hurry you...

Time's up and you're both right in a way - the answer is nothing

Hitch: What proof do you have that Allah exists?



BUZZZ ISIS, Choudary: The Quran is proof Allah exists because he wrote it.

Universally Challenged

Hosted by Christopher Hitchens

Who wants to be a martyr live on air With Osama Bin Laden







What is the punishment for drawing Muhammed?

A: £50 fine

B: Public flogging

C: Death by AK47

D: $10,000 prize


OK, you've spoken to Allah, what did he tell you?
YES, that's correct. By the will of Allah you move up to 3 virgins
He said that all the answers are in the Quran, but as I don't speak Arabic I couldn't possibly know what's in it, so I'm going to take a wild guess and say C, Death by AK47
What is the punishment for drawing Muhammed?
A: £50 fine
B: Public flogging
C: Death by AK47
D: $10,000 prize


OK, question four. How many wings does a magical horse have?
YES, that's correct. You move up to 5 virgins brother
That one's easy, it's C: Two
How many wings does a magical horse have?
A: 0
B: 72
C: 2
D: 600


OK, Question five. What was the highest total of Jews that Mohammed beheaded in one day?
Hmmm it's either B or C depending on which source you trust
You've got no life lines left so if you're not sure and haven't got the guts to blow yourself up anyway then I suggest you take the 5 virgins you've already won
I don't want to leave empty handed so I'll take the virgins
What was the highest total of Jews that Mohammed beheaded in one day?
A: 72
B: 600
C: 900
D: 1400


You don't get to take the virgins home. You only get them if you martyr yourself
Well that's a bloody con only an idiot would believe you get virgins for blowing yourself up
Welcome to Islam brother
What was the highest total of Jews that Mohammed beheaded in one day?
A: 72
B: 600
C: 900
D: 1400

Will making Saudi Arabia the head of the United Nations' Human Rights Council be the final nail in the HRC coffin?

more info



Bullshit = Stupidity + Indulgence

By David Paxton

"We who are about to be offended salute you"

twitter website

"If you have always believed that everyone should play by the same rules and be judged by the same standards, that would have gotten you labeled a radical 50 years ago, a liberal 25 years ago and a racist today." Dr. Thomas Sowell.

Perhaps to give this quote extra validity Dr Sowell should have begun it, 'Speaking as a BME....'. The respect afforded Sowell comes not from his race but from his intelligence, his achievements and his unrivalled ability to sniff out and unpack bullshit. He wouldn't have it any other way. And that ability, unfortunately for us, seems ever more valuable.

Please examine the opening paragraph of this piece addressing the ripples caused by the racist Welfare & Diversity Officer, Bahar Mustafa.

Reverse racism does not exist. For that matter, neither do reverse sexism or "misandry". This will continue to be the case until the existing hegemonies of society shift so that white people/neo-Europeans are no longer the dominant racial group in society and men are no longer the privileged gender. These are fairly simple statements but unfortunately appear to be concepts which a depressing multitude of people either cannot grasp or are unwilling to accept.

She starts strongly, the first sentence is correct, for the wrong reason though. I don't accept the concept of 'reverse racism' either, for there is only plain old racism and that can work in any direction. Though the author, Faatima Osman, doesn't mean this. So I must therefore be one of that depressing multitude as I am unwilling to accept her 'fairly simple statements'. And not because I cannot grasp them but because they are deeply stupid and will remain deeply stupid no matter how blithely she attempts proof by assertion.

The racist Welfare & Diversity Officer herself tried to assert the same nonsense in her exculpatory video.

I, an ethnic minority woman, cannot be racist or sexist towards white men because racism and sexism describe structures of privilege based on race and gender and therefore women of colour and minority genders cannot be racist or sexist, since we do not stand to benefit from such a system.

Again, there is no attempt to justify the assertion, it is merely made and then ran with. Both are propagating the ever more confidently asserted canard which is best summed up thus:

Racism = Prejudice + Power

After asserting this premise as fact it is easy to understand the flights of fancy these people choose to subsequently indulge in. Unfortunately though, it is a false premise.

The term racism does not 'describe structures of privilege'. The suffix '-ism' describes a "distinctive doctrine, cause, or theory". In this case it is attached to the word race. A doctrine, cause or theory based on race is by definition racist.

On the Wikipedia page for 'racism', after saying something similar to what I just have, it says:

In sociology and psychology, some definitions only include consciously malignant forms of discrimination... One view holds that racism is best understood as 'prejudice plus power' because without the support of political or economic power, prejudice would not be able to manifest as a pervasive cultural, institutional or social phenomenon.

These social-justice types have taken a stipulative definition used by some,

within some university departments, and decided to assert that the word, as society understands it, and it's plain meaning, has been universally redefined. There is something comic and quaint about this, grabbing a shiny new idea they just learned in class then brandishing it about like a weapon is a common enough act by the youthful and freshly educated and usually one can simply wait until they learn the next thing, or understand the context, and it goes away. Unfortunately though, something worse is occurring in this case. There are more than word games at stake.

The word racism describes thoughts, statements and actions and in our society it has a negative connotation. By redefining it as they have they are removing the power of that disapproval from cases where it might be deserved and applying it where it might not. We should look at this. Also we must ask why, once the manifest stupidity of this false redefinition has been explained to them, are so many so keen to maintain the falsehood?

Racism is ignorance. Though surely it has some things that make it attractive, the ability to be sweeping and lazy, to feel superior by belittling an other and through feeling the consequent bonds of ingroup solidarity. I can therefore see why the more weak minded 'anti-racists' are so keen to make a form of racism not just acceptable but socially and intellectually praiseworthy. And of course, like all racists they want to keep this privilege to whichever group they have chosen to identify themselves as.

The above picture features the racist Welfare & Diversity Officer Bahar Mustafa mocking the complaints of others. They complained about her requesting people of a certain race and gender not to attend an event and here, she is not implying disagreement with those that objected to her but that any objections they may have should be mocked and ignored as mere whines and that the reason for doing this is based on their race and gender.

Demanding that they should merely be content and therefore silent because the group she decides they are part of statistically enjoys privilege in wider society doesn't negate this point. She is having all the fun of an old-school racist while pretending she is on the side of good. On some level this should be applauded for sheer chutzpah. But ultimately, she is a racist and only in a modern seat of learning could such flagrant racism be be seen as a part of an anti-racism movement.

I understand that due to history and present society not all racist acts from all people are the same. It is clear to me why, for example, Diane Abbot writing "white people love playing divide and rule" will not end a career whereas a likely corollary to that, "black people are easy to manipulate and control", expressed by a white MP, would do. One act may not be as serious as another in a society but it is still racism. It is a cause/theory based upon race. If it isn't racism because SJWs have purloined the word, then what word which adequately describes it shall we use instead ? Calling dog-shit ice cream doesn't improve the flavour and we will still need another word for ice cream.

If these Warriors for Social Justice were to abandon this attempt at redefinition and stick to 'racial discrimination' they might, might, be on to something. Racial discrimination does depend on an element of power because one requires, by definition, the power to discriminate. However, in the two quotes provided at the top and in arguments made elsewhere, those that push the 'power + prejudice' line speak of 'society'. The requirement of power seemingly applies only to power applied at the broadest possible levels. It's a macro-only view where individuals are ignored (see my 3 Stages of Stupidity) and reduced to representing, or being represented by, demographic groupings and assumptions. Even if this were logical or acceptable it raises a

question about which level we decide to define 'society' at. Let's look at some examples:

Some take this 'society' as global and this is implied by a statement with no national qualification such as, "I, an ethnic minority woman, cannot be racist or sexist towards white men". The most common response to this has been 'Zimbabwe' which is itself countered with previous white power, the best land going to colonialists etc. etc. Fine, I will use my sister as an example, she may mind but she never reads what I write anyway so won't ever know.

After a few years as a teacher in the U.K. she moved to Zimbabwe to teach there, possibly for altruistic reasons, I suspect the weather. She and her husband bought some land (a few acres), it wasn't arable but just some unused scrub land of negligible value. They bought it from a black Zimbabwean so there is no claim to be had regarding iniquitous land distribution. On this land they erected green houses and started a business growing flowers for export. After some time, under the excuse of white farmers having the best land, this business was taken from them and eventually given to a friend of Robert Mugabe. The business fell apart and from what we can gather the remaining staff are now basically starving to death. The justification for this theft was 100% based on the race of my sister and her husband. Now, if only seen as black Africans, the perpetrators cannot be described as 'privileged' on a global level. But as Shona, in Zimbabwe, they can be. More apposite, they can be seen as privileged for having the power of the state on their side. Can I not call their action racist? If not, what should I call it?

Perhaps, though unstated, these people mean to apply their theory strictly to the national borders in which they make the statement. It's unfounded but let's be generous.

I once walked home the wrong way, a young lad 30m away from a group of bigger lads, told me I couldn't go that way because it was Bangladeshi and I was white. When I objected I was told he would 'stick me'. I don't remember exactly what happened next, either I karate'd all their asses and went the way I wanted or I slunk off and chose another route. Either way, why am I to pretend in that moment and that instance, they did not have the power? Why would my being part of a racial group that is statistically richer and more populous, when assessed within the national borders, mean their threats to me, based on race, are unable to be called racist? If I can't call it racist, what should I call it?

Why national borders? Are there not societies within societies? Why does a prison or a school not count? If a prisoner of race A is part of a minority compared to group B within a prison, is group B still incapable of being racist?

So even if we need to add the power element to the discussion, what relevance is the arbitrary definition of a society? Does a racist Welfare and Diversity Officer have no power, at all? This is bollocks on stilts.

If you are going to crowbar in 'structural' or 'society' to these things you at least have to adequately explain why and you have to explain why we are to be robbed of a perfectly good word that describes actual events. But without doing so one is left with the conclusion that you are stealing the well-earned power of a previous word to wield it for your own ends and satisfaction. And that's fucked up.

Their confident assertion is nonsense and I don't have to start a piece with "Speaking as a... [insert well-worn and coveted negative-trait here]" for that to be true.

I suggest the real measure of privilege is whether, if given the chance, you would choose to spin the tombola wheel of life again. Speaking as a man, whiter than most, taller than most, richer than most, straighter than most, more hairy than most, more roguishly handsome than most, disease free and in possession of a formidable penis, I say 'don't touch that wheel'. So I can see why my tears are of little value to some. Except, I don't offer any tears. I offer merely lofty contempt and derision. Because you see, bullshit is bullshit. It is bullshit whether from the mouth of a mountain of privilege like myself or from a racist Welfare & Diversity Officer.

That's the glorious equality of logic.

Hugh Jasshole











ASSHOLE of the week

Maulana Fazlur Rehman requested the Army Chief and the Prime Minister to openly declare war and launch a military operation against women wearing jeans all over Pakistan.

According to Rahman, the immodesty of women is the cause behind earthquakes, inflation and other kinds of disasters. A woman who is not covered like a 'sack of flour' is a mobile weapon of mass destruction for her state. Rehman then blamed 'immodest women' for the Baluchistan crisis, lack of energy supply and the deteriorating security situation in Pakistan. Fazlur Rehman suggests that, if these women are wrapped in sacks and kept inside homes and if the Shariah law was in place, then the Taliban would not be attacking Pakistan.

THE NEW ADVENTURES OF
JEAN GENIE
EVERY SATURDAY MORNING ON FATWATV
AND HER EARTHQUAKE CAUSING JEANS

People seem to think I bribed the voters to win my election. Nothing could be further from the truth, I didn't have to pay one dollar. I just told everyone that if I didn't get elected I was going to spill the beans on all the bribes. The 133 votes I got are from people that have been on the take for years, scared of being exposed.
FIFA

My work here is done, so I'm contemplating my next project. First I destroyed the UK economy and then the Middle East, I wonder what I can wreck next whilst lining my pockets?

VOTE 4 GEORGE

AS MAYOR OF LONDON

Do you want to make London a Jew free zone? Do you want to turn your capital city in a Bradford-like shit hole? Do you support corruption and misuse of public funds? Do you want to avoid being sued for voting for one of the other candidates?

THEN VOTE FOR GEORGE TO BE THE NEXT MAYOR OF LONDON

Idiots Gallery

Mücahid Cihad Han

Masturbating men 'will find their hands pregnant in the afterlife,' says Muslim.

After claiming that a man would meet his masturbating hand "pregnant in the afterlife" and "asking for its rights," a Muslim televangelist has set Turkish social media aflame.

Self-styled televangelist Mücahid Cihad Han dived into some delicate matters on May 24 when he answered his viewers' questions on private television station 2000 TV, Turkish media has reported. Han initially looked puzzled when a viewer said he "kept masturbating, although he was married, and even during the Umrah," a pilgrimage to Mecca performed by Muslims which can be undertaken at any time of the year, in contrast to the Hajj.

Han claimed that Islam strictly prohibits masturbation as a "haram" (forbidden) act. "Moreover, one hadith states that those who have sexual intercourse with their hands will find their hands pregnant in the afterlife, complaining against them to God over its rights," he said, referring to what he claimed to be a saying of Prophet Muhammad.

"If our viewer was single, I could recommend he marry, but what can I say now?" the televangelist added, advising the viewer to "resist Satan's temptations."

Artist's impression of what the pregnant hand of a masturbating Muslim may look like in the afterlife

SPEECH WARS

Episode twelve. The even darker side of the force has been receiving reports that despite their strict blasphemy laws in Muslamic strongholds, atheist bloggers are defying Moe the Merciless and his draconian laws. In an attempt to quell a potential uprising of unbelievers that could give rise to people publishing blogs promoting secular values, Moe intends to do something about it...

We are going to have to take action Charb, secular bloggers are being slaughtered in their droves by Moe the Merciless

We will carpet bomb Muslamabad central with 1000s of copies of Charlie Hebdo to distract them. They'll be cleaning them up for weeks

Won't the savages target cartoonists again? Aren't we just provoking them into violent action?

The cartoonists have got more courage than the council of useful idiots and all their cowardly dhimmi members, who just want to roll over and submit.

Virginia Byrnes & Dusty Daffodil are the

FEMINAZIS

The Chronicles of Mohammed

"The revelation does not come to me when I am in the garments of any woman except Aisha"

From the Sahih Collection of al-Bukhari, Hadith Number 2442

Videos of the week

Pat Condell - It's good to be anti-Islam

Website: www.patcondell.net Twitter: @patcondell

Tom Holland 'De-Radicalising Muhammad'

Tom Holland delivers the 'Christopher Hitchens Lecture' from Hay Festival 2015.

Paul Joseph Watson

Absolute Proof Liberalism is a mental disorder

Recommended Reading



Mind your language: the words and phrases that mark you out as a sexist By Martin Daubney

When he referred to the Duchess of Cambridge as 'the missus', Prince William was stepping into a linguistic minefield that men face daily.

Defend Free Speech! By Mark Steyn

"Stay quiet and you'll be okay:" Those were Mohammed Atta's words to his passengers on 9/11. And they're what all the nice respectable types are telling us now.

FIFA's Cover-Up Of Its Internal Investigation May Now Lead To Its Downfall By Heidi Blake

FIFA's decision to award the World Cup to Russia and Qatar - and President Sepp Blatter's refusal to reconsider the move despite a mountain of evidence of corruption - has led soccer to the worst crisis in its history.

There's no gender pay gap - but maybe there should be!
By Milo Yiannopoulos

The "gender pay gap" is one of the most persistent myths put about by feminists and social justice warriors. It has been comprehensively debunked: in the UK and US, women in their 30s are actually paid more than men for the same work

LAUGHING STOCKS

BARRY OBAMA

FREE RAIF BADAWI

Raif is not a criminal – he is a prisoner of conscience who called for free speech on his website. His treatment by authorities in Saudi Arabia has been nothing short of a vicious act of cruelty.

Raif was arrested in June 2012. In May 2014 he was found guilty of breaking Saudi Arabia's strict technology laws and insulting Islamic religious figures by creating and managing an online forum.

He was sentenced to 10 years in prison, 1,000 lashes, a fine of 1 million Saudi Riyal (over a quarter of a million US dollars), and prevented from using any kind of media or travelling until 2034.

Raif's sentence stems from his creation of the website 'Saudi Arabian Liberials', which he envisaged as a forum for political and social debate. He was subsequently charged for content he had posted to the site, including an article published on Valentine's Day 2012 in which he was accused of ridiculing Saudi Arabia's religious police, the Commission on the Promotion of Virtue and Prevention of Vice – as well as failing to remove 'offensive' posts by other contributors.



Aseem Trivedi is an independent cartoonist and free speech activist from New Delhi, India. He is creating a cartoon for each of the 50 lashes Raif Badawi has received. Above is number 21 in his series of 50. Vive Charlie will be featuring his cartoons each week so please follow him on Twitter.
@aseem_trivedi

Vive Charlie!

Draw Mohammed Competition



To follow are all the entries in the Vive Charlie Draw Mohammed competition. Originally we were going to decide on a winner, however we are going to allow you, our readers, to pick the winner. Simply rate the entries using the ratings bar on each picture.



TRUST ME!!
ISLAM IS PEACEFUL
KEVLAR

THIS CONTROLLED CRYING IS KILLING ME
#1 HUSBAND
AISHA


MY FAMILY
MB
bY Aleho
pgs ... 6

Stand With
Lars
Vilks
ISLAM
means
Human
&
Animal
RIGHT

this is what a
feminist looks
like

Islam is love for all
men
Hate is Haram!

PAS
ENCORE!
CHARLIE HEBDO
HOMOSEXUAL
GAY
MARC



Tonight on al-Oprah, rape victims face their aggressor

#DrawMuhammadDay

With Much Love
From Your Flying Horse
Mohammed

CHARLIE HEBDO
MAHOMET


Countdown Clock
525 DAYS
UNTIL A VILLAGE IN KENYA GETS ITS IDIOT BACK

ROCK THE HUSSY

Had a hard day beheading infidels? Not sure if video games are haram?
Relax with a Quran approved game straight from Mecca - "Rock the Hussy".

Play as a holy man and carry out the holy mission: Stone the immodest girls whilst avoiding harming the good subjugated Muslim women in burkas.
What could be more holy? May your violent God go with you.